

| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| | 09/696,051 | OWENS ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | BOB A. PHUNKULH | 2477 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) BOB A. PHUNKULH,

(3) _____.

(2) PATRICK A QUINLAN.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2010

Time:

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12 14 21 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

As set forth in Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BOB A PHUNKULH/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2477

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)